UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,148	04/04/2005	Torbjorn Jacobsson	P69855US0	5249
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			EXAMINER	
			CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C	
	SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			PAPER NUMBER
,			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\bigvee				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commence	10/500,148	JACOBSSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.	·				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	= ' '	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "and that the hammer-end of the hammer-piston is formed so that it sealingly cooperates with the drill bit bushing" in lines 14-15. It is unclear how the hammer end of the piston is again formed, the hammer end has been formed during manufacture, to strike the anvil.

Claim 7 recites "the hammer-piston is provided with a central axial channel which continuous in the drill bit over a foot valve, which is fastened in the drill bit and seals against the hammer-piston" in lines 1-4. The claimed limitation is unclear and confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw (US 3,924,690) in view of Beccu et al. (US 6,062,322).

With regard to claim 1, the patent to Shaw discloses pressure-air driven percussion device (1) for a down-the-hole drill with a hammer-piston (3), axially reciprocally movable in a hammer-piston chamber as shown in the second Figure, through the system pressure considered to be a driving device, a slidable drill bit (6), an air cushion (col. 5, lines 50-54) for reducing percussive power.

Shaw's reference discloses the claimed subject matter except for forming the air cushion in a drill bit bushing between the hammer-end and drill bit upper end.

Beccu et al.'s reference discloses pressure-air driven percussion device (10) including a hammer end (16B), and a drill bit upper end (30 Fig. 1A) wherein the movement of the hammer in downward direction causes the hammer end (16B) to compress air (col. 5, lines 38-39), and a sealing effect is formed in the seal member (36) between the hammer end (16B) and the drill bit upper end (30) as shown in Figure 1B. In view of the teaching of the reference of Beccu et al., it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the air cushion of Shaw with the arrangement of the hammer end, and a drill bit upper end and seal member of Beccu et al. in order to further reduce the impact of the

Application/Control Number: 10/500,148

Art Unit: 3721

hammer on the drill bit and align the hammer with the drill bit in each downward operation.

With regard to claim 2, the modified percussion of Shaw includes the upper end portion of the drill bit sealingly and slidingly supported in the drill bit bushing as disclosed in the Beccu et al. (Figs.1A and 1B).

With regard to claim 3, the air-cushion of the modified Shaw is arranged to be formed in a volume defined by the upper end of the drill bit, the drill bit bushing and the hammer-end of the hammer-piston. See Beccu et al. (Figs.1A and 1B).

With regard to claim 4, the drill bit bushing of the modified Shaw is arranged to be supported by a housing (4) of the down-the-hole drill (1).

With regard to claim 5, the hammer-piston chamber as shown in the second Figure is formed by a housing of the down-the-hole drill (1).

With regard to claim 6, Shaw's pressure system considered to be the driving device includes a leakage passage (23, 24) for the pressure-air, through which a flushing position is established, and pressure-air is allowed to leak passed the driving device in far advanced positions in the percussion direction of the hammer-piston.

Art Unit: 3721

With regard claim 9, Shaw's downhole drill includes a percussion device as shown in the second Figure.

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw (US 3,924,690) in view of Beccu et al. (US 6,062,322) as applies to claim 1 and further in view of Harrinton (US 5,131,476).

With regard to claim 7, modified reference of Shaw includes the hammer-piston of provided with a central axial channel (21), continuous in the drill bit except over a foot valve, which is fastened in the drill bit and seals against the hammer-piston. Harrinton's reference teaches a foot valve (30) for exhausting air pressure beneath the piston. In view of the teaching of Harrinton's reference, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Shaw's percussion device to include the foot valve in order to exhaust air pressure beneath the piston.

With regard to claim 8, the Shaw would include the air-cushion being limited by the outside surface of the foot valve because the flange formed on the outer surface of the foot valve (Harrinbton Fig. 1).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3721

6. Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3721

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NC

May 23, 2007.

Rinaldi I. Rada
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700